Macroeconomics III Lecture 11

Emiliano Santoro

University of Copenhagen

Fall 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

1/32

Finding the expected loss

Need to prove:

$$\begin{split} E[L] &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \psi^2 + \psi_\theta^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + (1+\psi_\nu)^2 \sigma_\nu^2 + \psi_e^2 \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_\mu^2 + \bar{\pi}^2 - 2\psi\bar{\pi} \\ &+ \lambda (\sigma_\theta^2 + (1+\psi_\nu)^2 \sigma_\nu^2 + (\psi_e - 1)^2 \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_\mu^2 + \bar{x}^2) \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

Finding the expected loss- Step 1

• Let's start by collecting stochastic variables and *scalars* within the squared terms of the expected loss

$$E[L] = \frac{1}{2} E \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\psi - \bar{\pi} + \psi_{\theta} \theta + (1 + \psi_{\nu}) \nu + \psi_{\epsilon} \epsilon + \mu \right)^{2} \\ + \lambda \left(-\bar{x} + \theta + (\psi_{\nu} + 1) \nu + (\psi_{\epsilon} - 1) \epsilon + \mu \right)^{2} \end{array} \right]$$

Thus:

$$=\frac{1}{2}E\begin{bmatrix} (\psi-\bar{\pi})^2 + (\psi_{\theta}\theta + (1+\psi_{\nu})\nu + \psi_{\varepsilon}\epsilon + \mu)^2 \\ +2(\psi-\bar{\pi})(\psi_{\theta}\theta + (1+\psi_{\nu})\nu + \psi_{\varepsilon}\epsilon + \mu) \\ +\lambda\bar{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \lambda(\theta + (\psi_{\nu}+1)\nu + (\psi_{\varepsilon}-1)\epsilon + \mu)^2 \\ -2\lambda\bar{\mathbf{x}}(\theta + (\psi_{\nu}+1)\nu + (\psi_{\varepsilon}-1)\epsilon + \mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the expected loss - Step 2

Take expectations:

• Line 1:

•
$$E\left[(\psi - \bar{\pi})^2\right] = (\psi - \bar{\pi})^2$$

• $E\left[(\psi_{\theta}\theta + (1 + \psi_{\nu})\nu + \psi_{\epsilon}\epsilon + \mu)^2\right] = \psi_{\theta}^2\sigma_{\theta}^2 + (1 + \psi_{\nu})^2\sigma_{\nu}^2 + \psi_{\epsilon}^2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2$

- Line 2: $E\left[2(\psi \bar{\pi})(\psi_{\theta}\theta + (1 + \psi_{\nu})\nu + \psi_{\epsilon}\epsilon + \mu)\right] = 0$
- Line 3:

•
$$E [\lambda \bar{\mathbf{x}}^2] = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{x}}^2$$

• $E [\lambda(\theta + (\psi_{\nu} + 1)\nu + (\psi_{\epsilon} - 1)\epsilon + \mu)^2] = \lambda [\sigma_{\theta}^2 + (1 + \psi_{\nu})^2 \sigma_{\nu}^2 + (\psi_{\epsilon} - 1)^2 \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2]$

• Line 4: $E[-2\lambda \bar{\mathbf{x}}(\theta + (\psi_{\nu} + 1)\nu + (\psi_{\epsilon} - 1)\epsilon + \mu)] = 0$

Finding the expected loss - Step 3

Collect terms:

$$E[L] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^2 + \bar{\pi}^2 - 2\psi\bar{\pi} + \psi_{\theta}^2\sigma_{\theta}^2 + (1+\psi_{\nu})^2\sigma_{\nu}^2 + \psi_{\epsilon}^2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2 \\ +\lambda(\bar{x}^2 + \sigma_{\theta}^2 + (1+\psi_{\nu})^2\sigma_{\nu}^2 + (\psi_{\epsilon} - 1)^2\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

5/32

Show that the optimal policy under commitment implies $\pi_t^C = 0$ and $x_t^C = \theta_t$ [hint: i) recall that the loss function is quadratic, thus the optimal policy rule is linear and can be guessed to be of the form $\pi_t = \psi + \psi_{\theta} \theta_t$; ii) recall that the loss to be minimized is the unconditional one].

 Given the linear rule π_t = ψ + ψ_θθ_t, as well as the fact that θ_t is observed by both the public and the policy maker before expectations are formed, output is determined as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{\theta}_t + \pi_t - \pi_t^{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{\theta}_t + \psi + \psi_{\mathbf{\theta}} \mathbf{\theta}_t - (\psi + \psi_{\mathbf{\theta}} \mathbf{\theta}_t) = \mathbf{\theta}_t$$

• Thus, the expected loss reads as:

$$E[L(\pi_t, x_t)] = \frac{1}{2}E\left[\left(\underbrace{\psi + \psi_{\theta}\theta_t}_{=\pi_t}\right)^2 + \lambda\left(\underbrace{\theta_t}_{=x_t} - \bar{x}\right)^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}E\left[\psi^2 + 2\psi\psi_{\theta}\theta_t + \psi^2_{\theta}\theta^2_t + \lambda\left(\theta^2_t - 2\bar{x}\theta_t + \bar{x}^2\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}\psi^2 + 2\psi\psi_{\theta}E\left[\theta_t\right] + \psi^2_{\theta}E\left[\theta^2_t\right] \\ +\lambda\left(E\left[\theta^2_t\right] - 2\bar{x}E\left[\theta_t\right] + \bar{x}^2\right)\end{array}\right]$$

• Taking the first order conditions of $E[L(\pi_t, x_t)]$ with respect to ψ and ψ_{θ} we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial E [L(\pi_t, x_t)]}{\partial \psi} = 0: \psi + \psi_{\theta} E [\theta_t] = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial E [L(\pi_t, x_t)]}{\partial \psi_{\theta}} = 0: \psi E [\theta_t] + \psi_{\theta} E [\theta_t^2] = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆帰▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへの

8/32

• Thus, the expected loss is minimized by setting $\psi = \psi_{\theta} = 0$, which implies $\pi_t^C = 0$ and $x_t^C = \theta_t$.

Show that the optimal policy under discretion implies $\pi_t^D = -\lambda (\theta_t - \bar{x})$ and $x_t^D = \theta_t$. The inflation bias increases in the target \bar{x} : explain why.

January 2017 Exercise 2

Question 2

 When the central bank conducts a discretionary policy, the inflation rate is chosen after expectations are formed. Hence, the goal of the central bank is to minimize the loss function, i.e. the monetary policy should be expost optimal, given π^e_t. Under this assumption, the problem reads as

$$\min_{\pi_t} \frac{1}{2} \left[\pi_t^2 + \lambda \left(\theta_t + \pi_t - \pi_t^e - \bar{x} \right)^2 \right]$$

• The first order condition for this problem reads as:

$$\frac{\partial L(\pi_t, x_t)}{\partial \pi_t} = \mathbf{0} : \pi_t + \lambda \left(\theta_t + \pi_t - \pi_t^e - \bar{x}\right) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \pi_t^D = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \left(\pi_t^e - \theta_t + \bar{x}\right)$$

• Thus, the expected rate of inflation is found by taking expectations:

$$E\left[\left.\pi_{t}^{D}\right|\theta_{t}\right] = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}E_{t}\left[\pi_{t}^{e}-\theta_{t}+\bar{x}\right] = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\left(E_{t}\left[\left.\pi_{t}^{D}\right|\theta_{t}\right]-\theta_{t}+\bar{x}\right)$$

which implies $E\left[\left.\pi_{t}^{D}\right|\theta_{t}\right]=-\lambda\left(\theta_{t}-\bar{x}
ight)$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ (* 10/32

• Therefore:

$$\pi_t^D = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left(\underbrace{-\lambda \left(\theta_t - \bar{x}\right)}_{=\pi_t^e} - \theta_t + \bar{x} \right) = -\lambda \left(\theta_t - \bar{x}\right)$$
$$x_t^D = \theta_t$$

The excessively high equilibrium inflation associated with the inflation bias problem results from the combination of a lack of commitment and central bank's temptation to temporarily boost the economy beyond its potential level. The latter incentive is embodied by the condition x̄ > θ. This makes it clear why raising x̄ increases the temptation of the central bank to generate excess inflation in the vain attempt to stimulate real activity.

Assume that potential output cannot be observed before expectations are formed. The goal of the central bank is still to minimize the loss function. However, the monetary policy stance should now result as ex-post optimal given both π_t^e and θ_t (as the latter is not observed until after expectations are formed). Assume that $E[\theta_t] = 0$. Show that the optimal policy under discretion now implies $\pi_t^{D^*} = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} [\bar{x}(1+\lambda) - \theta_t]$ and $x_t^{D^*} = \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \theta_t$. Under $\lambda = 0$ it is possible to ensure that $\pi_t^{D^*} = \pi_t^C$ and $x_t^{D^*} = x_t^C$. Explain why this is the case.

• Once again, when the central bank conducts a discretionary policy, the inflation rate is chosen after expectations are formed. Hence, the goal of the central bank is to minimize the loss function, i.e. the monetary policy should be ex post optimal, now given π_t^e and θ_t , as the latter is not observed. Under this assumption, the problem reads as

$$\min_{\pi_t} \frac{1}{2} \left[\pi_t^2 + \lambda \left(\theta_t + \pi_t - \pi_t^e - \bar{x} \right)^2 \right]$$

• The first order condition for this problem reads as:

$$\frac{\partial L(\pi_t, x_t)}{\partial \pi_t} = 0: \pi_t + \lambda \left(\theta_t + \pi_t - \pi_t^e - \bar{x}\right) = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi_t^D = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left(\pi_t^e + \bar{x} - \theta_t\right)$$

 Now, the expected rate of inflation is found by taking unconditional expectations (as θ_t is not observed before expectations are formed):

$$E\left[\pi_t^D\right] = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} E\left[\pi_t^e - \theta_t + \bar{x}\right] = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left(E\left[\pi_t^D\right] + \bar{x}\right)$$

which implies $E\left[\pi_t^D\right] = \lambda \bar{x}$

• Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t^{D*} &= \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left(\underbrace{\lambda \bar{x}}_{=\pi_t^e} + \bar{x} - \theta_t \right) = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left[\bar{x} \left(1 + \lambda \right) - \theta_t \right] \\ x_t^{D*} &= \theta_t + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \left[\bar{x} \left(1 + \lambda \right) - \theta_t \right] - \lambda \bar{x} = \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \theta_t \end{aligned}$$

- As we set $\lambda = 0$, the policy maker does not face a real activity stabilization objective, so that there is no temptation to inflate the economy to raise output above the target
- Thus, no matter the information structure, output will always be equal to θ_t , and thus to its solution under commitment
- The same holds true for the rate of inflation

Open (real) economy: a primer

- Topics:
 - Consumption and capital accumulation in a small open economy (SOE)
 - Current account dynamics and net foreign assets
 - Real exchange rate (RER) determination and dynamics in a two-sector open economy

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

16/32

• Source: DN 7.1-7.2

Definitions

- In an open economy investment is no longer constrained by saving, but the economy must satisfy an intertemporal budget constraint
- Let tb_t ≡ y_t − c_t − i_t denote the trade balance (the net amount of output the economy transfers to foreigners)
- As for the net foreign asset position, nfa_t:

$$nfa_t \equiv a_t - k_t$$

• Current account (absent capital gains or losses) in a small open economy:

$$ca_t \equiv tb_t + r_t nfa_t = \Delta nfa_{t+1}$$

• A positive trade balance implies an equal-sized increase in net foreign assets, as it forces foreigners to borrow from domestic agents

Firms

- Usual assumptions (see Lecture 2)
- The representative firm maximizes profits:

$$\max_{K_t,L_t} f(K_t, L_t) - r_t K_t - w_t L_t$$

FOCs:

$$f_{\mathcal{K}}(k_t, 1) = r_t$$

$$f_{\mathcal{L}}(k_t, 1) = w_t$$

which define demand the functions for capital and labor

• Note that with constant world rental rates and time invariant technology the domestic capital stock and the wage are constant at all times

Households

Using the dynamic budget constraint

• The representative household solves

$$\max_{\substack{c_t,k_{t+1}\\s.t.}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$
$$a_{t+1} = a_t R_t + w_t - c_t$$

• Thus:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{a}_{t+1}&=&\mathbf{a}_t R_t + w_t - c_t \ u'(c_t)&=η R_{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}) \ where \ R_t&=&1+r_t-\delta \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

19/32

Current account dynamics

The current account satisfies:

$$tb_{t} + (R_{t} - 1) nfa_{t} = f(k_{t}, 1) - \underbrace{c_{t}}_{a_{t}R_{t} + w_{t} - a_{t+1}} - [k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_{t}] + (R_{t} - 1) \underbrace{nfa_{t}}_{a_{t} - k_{t}}$$

$$= f(k_t, 1) - a_t R_t - w_t + \underbrace{a_{t+1} - k_{t+1}}_{=nfa_{t+1}} + (1 - \delta)k_t + R_t a_t \underbrace{-a_t + k_t}_{=-nfa_t} - R_t k_t$$

$$= f(k_t, 1) - w_t + \underbrace{(1 - R_t - \delta)}_{= -r_t} k_t + nfa_{t+1} - nfa_t = \Delta nfa_{t+1}$$

Consumption and capital accumulation in a small open economy

- In an open economy consumption and investment decisions are not *interdependent*, as in the closed economy
- Instead, they are *recursive:*
 - Investment is chosen first, such that in each period the marginal product of capital (after depreciation) equals the world interest rate $R \Rightarrow$ The k_{t+1} sequence determines the wealth of the household
 - Given wealth and the world interest rate, consumption follows from the intertemporal budget constraint and the Euler equation

Equilibrium consumption

 To solve for equilibrium consumption, we iterate the dynamic budget constraint forward (assume R_t = R = β⁻¹):

$$c_t =
ho {\sf R} {\sf a}_t +
ho \sum_{s=0}^\infty {\sf R}^{-s} {\sf w}_{t+s}$$

where
$$ho \equiv \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} R^{-s}\right)^{-1} = \left(R-1\right)/R$$

- As usual, equilibrium consumption reflects lifetime wealth
- Unlike in closed-economy general equilibrium models, however, the interest rate is exogenous and the open capital account allows for domestic saving and investment and, thus, consumption and capital accumulation, to be decoupled

Consumption dynamics and RER determination Assumptions

- Suppose now an endowment-SOE with two components:
 - A non-tradable component, w_t^N
 - A tradable component, w_t^T
- Non-tradables only can be consumed domestically (think of them as services), while tradables can both be consumed domestically and shipped abroad at no cost
- The tradable good serves as numeraire and the price of non-tradables is denoted p_t

Consumption dynamics and RER determination Model

• Household consumption is a CES aggregator of the tradable and the non-tradable good:

$$c_t = c\left(c_t^{N}, c_t^{T}
ight)$$

- The price of c_t , which increases in p_t , is denoted by \mathcal{P}_t
- The RER is the price of one unit of domestic consumption relative to the price of a consumption unit abroad, which we normalize to unity
- The RER thus equals P_t and increases in p_t; thus, a rising price of non-tradables implies a RER appreciation
- Households maximize the discounted stream of utility, such that

$$\mathbf{a}_{t+1} = \mathbf{a}_t \mathbf{R}_t + \mathbf{w}_t^T + \mathbf{w}_t^N \mathbf{p}_t - \mathbf{c}_t^T - \mathbf{p}_t \mathbf{c}_t^N$$

Consumption dynamics and RER determination

• From the FOCs (prove it):

$$u'(c_{t}) \mathcal{P}_{t} = \beta R_{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}) \mathcal{P}_{t+1}$$

$$u'(c_{t}) c_{T} \left(c_{t}^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right) = \beta R_{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}) c_{T} \left(c_{t+1}^{N}, c_{t+1}^{T}\right)$$

$$p_{t} = \frac{c_{N} \left(c_{t}^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right)}{c_{T} \left(c_{t}^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right)}$$

25 / 32

where $c_T = \partial c \left(c_t^N, c_t^T \right) / \partial c_t^T$ and $c_N = \partial c \left(c_t^N, c_t^T \right) / \partial c_t^N$

Consumption dynamics and RER determination Equilibrium

• Assume $R_t = \beta^{-1}$, $w_t^N = w^N$ and domestic market clearing $(c_t^N = w^N)$:

$$u'(c_{t}) \mathcal{P}_{t} = u'(c_{t+1}) \mathcal{P}_{t+1}$$

$$u'(c_{t}) c_{T} \left(w^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right) = u'(c_{t+1}) c_{T} \left(w^{N}, c_{t+1}^{T}\right)$$

$$p_{t} = \frac{c_{N} \left(w^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right)}{c_{T} \left(w^{N}, c_{t}^{T}\right)}$$

The first two conditions imply

$$\frac{c_{T}\left(w^{N},c_{t+1}^{T}\right)}{c_{T}\left(w^{N},c_{t}^{T}\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{t+1}}{\mathcal{P}_{t}}$$

 What happens if c^T_{t+1}/c^T_t increases? Effect on the rate of acceleration of the real exchange rate.

Consumption dynamics and RER determination Equilibrium

 Domestic market clearing and the household's intertemporal budget constraint then imply that c_t^T equals the annuity value of net foreign assets plus permanent income from the tradable endowment sequence:

$$c_t^T = \rho R \mathbf{a}_t + \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} R^{-s} w_{t+s}^T$$

• Recall that condition $p_t = \frac{c_N(w^N, c_t^T)}{c_T(w^N, c_t^T)}$ pins down the *level* of the real exchange rate. Thus, as $c_t^T \uparrow$, $c_T(w^N, c_t^T) \downarrow$ and $p_t \uparrow$ (i.e., a RER appreciation)

- Over longer horizons, factors of production can be reallocated between sectors, implying that tradable and non-tradable output are no longer exogenous
- This undermines the link between household wealth and the RER
- To see this, envisage a SOE that produces two goods, with CRS production functions
 - Tradable $A_t^T f(K_t^T, L_t^T)$ Non-tradable $A_t^N f(K_t^N, L_t^N)$
- Capital is internationally mobile, with world interest rate R_t
- Labor is only mobile across sectors, $L_t^T + L_t^N = 1$, and earns w_t
- Price of tradable normalized to one, relative price of non-tradable is p_t

 If competitive domestic firms produce both goods, the marginal value products of the two factors in both sectors must equal the respective factor prices

$$A_{t}^{T} f_{K}(k_{t}^{T}, 1) + 1 - \delta = R_{t}$$

$$p_{t} A_{t}^{N} f_{K}(k_{t}^{N}, 1) + 1 - \delta = R_{t}$$

$$A_{t}^{T} f_{L}(k_{t}^{T}, 1) = w_{t}$$

$$p_{t} A_{t}^{N} f_{L}(k_{t}^{N}, 1) = w_{t}$$

29/32

• CRS imply that marginal products are a function of respective capital-labor ratios

- An increase in A_t^T will drive factors to the tradable sector
- Start with the zero-profit conditions for each sector:

$$A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^T p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^N$$

• Take the total derivative w.r.t. A_t^T , and show the effect on p_t

• Total derivative of $A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^T$:

$$f(k_t^T, 1) + A_t^T \frac{df(k_t^T, 1)}{dk_t^T} \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T}$$

• Total derivative of $A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^T$:

$$f(k_t^T, 1) + A_t^T \frac{df(k_t^T, 1)}{dk_t^T} \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T}$$

• Total derivative of $p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^N$:

$$\frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T}A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) + p_t A_t^N \frac{df(k_t^N, 1)}{dk_t^N} \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T}$$

• Total derivative of $A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^T$:

$$f(k_t^T, 1) + A_t^T \frac{df(k_t^T, 1)}{dk_t^T} \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T}$$

• Total derivative of $p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^N$:

$$\frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T}A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) + p_t A_t^N \frac{df(k_t^N, 1)}{dk_t^N} \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T}$$

Thus:

$$\frac{A_t^T}{p_t}\frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T} = \frac{A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1)}{p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1)}$$

・ロ ・ ・ (日 ・ ・ 注 ・ く 注 ・ 注 ・ う Q ()
31 / 32

• Total derivative of $A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^T$:

$$f(k_t^T, 1) + A_t^T \frac{df(k_t^T, 1)}{dk_t^T} \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T}$$

• Total derivative of $p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) = w_t + (R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t^N$:

$$\frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T}A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1) + p_t A_t^N \frac{df(k_t^N, 1)}{dk_t^N} \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + (R_t - 1 + \delta) \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T}$$

Thus:

$$\frac{A_t^T}{p_t} \frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T} = \frac{A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1)}{p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1)}$$

An increase in non-tradable sector productivity decreases p_t

- If both productivities increase, as long as A_t^T grows faster than A_t^N , and $A_t^T f(k_t^T, 1) > p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N, 1)$, then p_t goes up
- Empirically, this tends to be the case
- Given our previous result, countries with relatively high productivity growth in the tradable sector tend to experience a RER appreciation: This is known as the Harrod-Balassa-Samuelson effect
- If international income differences reflect differences in tradable sector productivity, the Harrod-Balassa-Samuelson effect predicts a positive relation between income and RER across countries